

BOYERTOWN AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT
Boyertown, Pennsylvania
www.boyertownasd.org

**Ad-Hoc Committee for
School Board Election Plan Options**

October 17, 2012 at 6:00 p.m.
Education Center, Board Room

Meeting Summary

Committee attendees: Barbara Hartford, Donna Usavage (chair); regrets: John Crossley
Public attendees: Linda Curry, Jill Dennin, Ruth Dierolf, Gwen Semmens

- To introduce the meeting, Donna reviewed the goals of the committee as outlined in the Board action to create the committee and expressed her personal goal for the committee to follow a fair process to come to a recommendation. The process has 3 sequential steps:
 - Establish criteria useful in evaluating election plan options
 - Brainstorm potential options
 - Evaluate options and make recommendationThe potential outcomes could be to keep the 3-region model as-is, to adjust the 3-region model, or to implement a different model (i.e at-large, 9-region or hybrid).
- Available data was reviewed.
 - Population information from 2000 and 2010 Census data applied to the current 3 regions; projections for 2020 population modeling linear growth and also using the recent PEL study data. Donna noted that measuring the 3 regions in terms of registered voter data obtained from the Montgomery and Berks County Voter Services website resulted in very similar proportions to that of total population.
 - Baseline data from the PSBA School Board Survey of 2011 and data on multi-county school districts supplied by Mr. Szablowski.
 - The response rate for the survey was 65% of all PA school districts. 63% use the at-large model, 29% use the 3-region model, 5% use a 9-region model and 3% use a hybrid at-large/regional model.
 - Looking at districts categorized as suburban resulted in similar proportions; districts with similar student population also had similar proportions. Only geographic size seemed to impact the numbers, with 51% at-large, 40% 3-region, 6% 9-region and 3% hybrid.
 - Looking at districts spanning multiple counties, 48% are at-large, 33% are 3-region, 15% are 9-region and 4% are hybrid.
 - Maps of each region from the Montgomery and Berks County Voter Services website.
 - Election Model Formats (aka Election Plan Options) prescribed by law, as outlined in the Board action to create the committee.

- Election Model Criteria – A brainstorming session was held with the public to list potential criteria associated with a ‘good’ election model. Then each member of the public was asked to identify their top 3 criteria from list generated.

Election Plan criteria – list generated from brainstorming exercise

- Can vote for whom you wish
- Convenience to polling places for voters
- Good socio-economic representation
- Candidates known by all voters (3)
- Clear/simple for voters (2)
- Constituents feel that candidates represent entire district
- Community feels close constituency relationship
- Encourages community unity
- Candidates should not be discouraged from running (2)
- Voters feel they are being represented equally (3)
- Candidates feel that they represent the entire district
- Does not encourage candidates from just one geographic area or county (1)
- Promotes election of candidates that understand diverse community viewpoints
- Minimizes maintenance of election model
- Change to election model does not impact current Board members

Parenthesized numbers represent tally of top 3 priority from each member of the public present at the meeting.

- The public was asked for feedback about the approach to the meetings. Ideas generated:
 - Look at election models for schools districts that are multi-county, similar to BASD in student population and geographic size and categorized as rural and/or suburban. Noted that BASD is categorized by the state as Suburban.
 - Advertise on school website to generate more public interest.
 - Get feedback from Berks County election services about multi-county school district election models.
 - Add Policy 9110 to packet of information for the public.
 - Look into reasons for creating the 3-region model at the time of the jointure in the 1950’s.
- Next Meeting – Wednesday, October 24th, 2012 at 6:00pm.
Tentative agenda:
 - Finalize prioritized list of criteria
 - Develop list of potential election models